| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |
|--|--|--|
|  | 10/040,222   | LEVIDOW ET AL.   |
|  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |
|  | Chun Cao   | 2115   |
| All Participants:  | Status of Applicatio   | n: <u>Pending</u>  |
| (1) <u>Chun Cao</u> .  | (3)  |  |
| (2) William J. Kramer (Reg. No. 46,229).   | (4)  |  |
| Date of Interview: 26 October 2005   | Time: <u>5:00 pm</u>   |  |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:   | oplicant's representative)   |  |
| Part I.  |  |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed: 112 2 <sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejection  |  |  |
| Claims discussed:<br>1 and 23  |  |  |
| Prior art documents discussed: None  |  |  |
| Part II.   |  |  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GI<br>35 USC 112 2nd problem of claims 1 and 23 were disscuss<br>112 2nd problem as set forth in examiner amendment in ord   | sed. Applicant agreed to amend t   | the claims to overcome the discussed                                     |
| Part III.  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>The examiner will provide a<br/>rate record of the substance</li> </ul> | a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview |
|  |  |  |
| •  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl   | icant/Applicant's Representat  | tive Signature – if appropriate)   |